

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/938,594	938,594 08/27/2001		Satoshi Serizawa	110052	2724	
25944	7590	02/16/2005		EXAMINER		
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC P.O. BOX 19928				DOUGHERTY, THOMAS M		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2834		

DATE MAILED: 02/16/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

41	
(AC	
ч	

## Application No. Applicant(s) 09/938,594 SERIZAWA, SATOSHI Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit Thomas M. Dougherty 2834 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Thomas M. Dougherty. (4)\_\_\_\_\_ (2) Leana Levin, Esq.. Date of Interview: 10 February 2005. Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e)⊠ No. If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_. Claim(s) discussed: 1-4. Identification of prior art discussed: Iwao ('008); Kizaki et al. ('217). Agreement with respect to the claims f was reached. g was not reached. h D N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE. OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Manual Gulden Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Ms. Levin noted that in the Iwao ('008) reference that components 4 and 7 were identical adhesive materials, while in the present invention, two different adhesive materials are shown and that the silicone based adhesive, if used alone, has been found to fail over time. It has been found that use of the silicone adhesive together with a rigid-resin type adhesive, that is not silicone, overcomes this problem and the applicants discuss this at page 40 of their disclosure. The Examiner requested that this information be made of record, and that the distinction of two different adhesives be stressed in an amendment so that the present rejection is overcome.

TOM DOUGHERTY